

# From Data to Action: Leveraging Universal Screeners for Equitable Literacy Outcomes

Session 1 - Tier 1 Strategies & Data-Driven Literacy Improvement Planning

Presenters:

Calico Clark and Marianne Vande Pol











### Land Acknowledgment

We acknowledge that our work takes place on the traditional and unceded territories of the Indigenous Peoples of British Columbia, home to 198 distinct Nations. Across Canada, we also recognize the 46 treaties and agreements that reflect ongoing relationships with the land.



We are grateful to the First Nations, Métis, and Inuit Peoples for their care and teachings about the Earth.

This acknowledgment reminds us of our responsibilities to these relationships and the ancestral lands where we live, work, and learn.











# Learning Objectives

- Understand the components of universal screeners and their alignment with foundational literacy skills.
- Explore strategies to support student learning across all three MTSS tiers today's focus will be tier one.
- Begin to develop a literacy improvement plan using your own data.














# Let's Clarify Some Terms














### Using Data to Unlock Reading Success



"As problem solvers, teachers know that the solutions to many of their students' struggles with learning to read are found in data gathered through three kinds of assessment: screening, diagnostic and progress monitoring." (p. 3)












# Let's Check in on our System


Who supports Literacy...

- ☐ In your Classroom?
- ☐ In your School?
- ☐ In your District?














#### Introducing an MTSS Decision Making Framework

A framework for systematically and empirically approaching reading problems within a school system, and identifying solutions:

- Poses key questions to be asked when trying to solve reading-related problems
- Creates a common language among teachers and administrators for making instructional decisions about instruction and intervention at individual student, small group, classroom, school and district levels.















# MTSS - Multi-Tiered System of Supports

- 1. Leadership all levels
- 2. Effective Teaming Structures
- 3. Universal Screener
- 4. Data Analysis System
- 5. Collaborative Problem—Solving Model
- 6. Evidence Based Instructional Practices
- 7. A Tiered Delivery System to Support the Needs of All Students













#### How MTSS is Different than Business As Usual!

#### Traditional Model

- Wait to Fail
- Teacher Referral
- In School Support Team
- Separate Systems
- Balanced Literacy
- Expert/Discrepancy Model

#### MTSS Model

- Prevention
- Universal Screening
- Grade Level Teams
- Integrated Systems
- Explicit Instruction
- Collaborative Problem Solving










# 4

# Multi Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS)














#### MTSS as the Systems Change Framework













#### MTSS as the Systems Change Framework



1. School Wide Assessment



2. Systems



3. Problem Based Solving Model

#### Share your SENTENCE

What was meaningful to you, that you felt captures the core idea, provoked a feeling, or inspired more conversation to be had.











# School Wide Assessment



"Data is the VOICE OF THE CHILD...

speaking to us about their strengths, challenges, and needs in literacy, guiding us toward targeted, effective instruction."























# Tools for Screening

#### Your screening toolkit needs to work for you.

Essential components:

Literacy is an equity issue: all students deserve to learn how to read.

- 1. Strong reliability and validity we need to trust our results
- 2. Time efficient
- 3. Produce results which are easily interpretable (e.g., when I look at the results from this screener, do I know what they mean?)











#### Take a moment to think about this question:

# When you collect data at your school, how much of it is useful for making instructional changes?

Assessment is the collection of data to make decisions.



(Salvia & Ysseldyke, 1997)











# Formative Assessments - Key Terms

#### Universal Screener

- Brief, reliable, valid, evidence—based assessments
- Identifies students who are at risk for reading difficulties
- A key component of prevention



- Secondary to a screener
- Used to pinpoint the specific areas where a student is struggling
- Used to clarify the instructional needs.

#### Progress Monitoring

- Brief measures delivered and used frequently
- Determines if students are making adequate progress
- They answer the question: "Is my instruction working?"


















# We Implemented - DIBELS 8














### Administration Guidelines - Example DIBELS

- Timeframe: Each subtest takes 1-3 minutes to administer.
- Frequency: Three benchmark periods per year (fall, winter, spring).
- Environment: Conduct one—on—one in a quieter, distraction—free setting.
- Scoring: Real—time scoring using scoring sheets.














# Screeners and Foundational Skills in Literacy














#### Universal Screener - The Literacy Skills Measured

- Phonemic Awareness: Letter Name Fluency (LNF), Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF).
- Phonics: Letter Naming Fluency (LNF),
  Nonsense Word Fluency (NWF) blending and decoding.
- Fluency: Oral Reading Fluency (ORF), Word Reading Fluency (WRF).
- Comprehension: Maze Fluency measures reading comprehension using cloze tasks.














## Guiding Every Student to Reading Success

The outcomes—based model helps us "problem solve with our sights continually set on the outcome — reading success for all students!" (p. 5)














#### Glaser & Smartt, 2023 - Outcomes-Driven Model
















# - Systems - Data Based Decision Making



"Using data to inform instruction isn't about labeling students: it's about identifying needs and unlocking potential."

Michael Fullen, 2016











#### Prevention and Early Intervention is Key

#### School systems must focus on:

- Preventing reading problems from developing
- Intervening as early as possible and doing so systematically when problems emerge

The evidence base for prevention and early intervention and how to do it is considered SETTLED SCIENCE












#### Collaborative Problem Solving



- 1. Identify and Confirm Level of Need
- 2. Develop and Implement Needs-Based Support
- 3. Evaluate and Adjust Needs-Based Support
- 4. Evaluate the Effectiveness of Needs-Based Support










#### Step <u>I: Problem Definition (What is the problem?)</u>

Based on screening data, is our core program sufficient for most students at our grade level (80% or more above grade level expectations/benchmark goals)?

• Review and analyze current screening data. Record percentages below:

	Current Screening
% At or Above Expectation/Benchmark	
% Below Expectation/Benchmarks	
% Well Below Expectation/ Benchmark	

Problem Statement: \_\_\_\_\_\_



What red flags indicate that a problem exists?

 % of the students in this grade do not meet the minimum level of the established benchmark.














#### Analyzing Universal Screening Data - COMPOSITE DATA

Mock Grade 1 Class-BOY

Student	Ц	NF	P	SF	N) C	WF-	NV Wi	VF- RC	WRF			ORF Words Correct	ORF Errors	C	ORF Accuracy %		Composite
Student 1	0	10		20		32	*	31	0	3		23	3		88		332
Student 2	0	1		21	$\circ$	12	0	2		1		12	4		75		312
Student 3		69	$\circ$	22		43	0	3	0	2	女	40	5		89		351
Student 4	0	1	$\circ$	23	0	23	0	1	0	3	$\circ$	1	6		14		312
Student 5	0	4		45	女	67	*	20	0	4	女	45	7		87	Ż	354
Student 6	0	6	女	76	0	22	文	27	0	6	0	2	8	0	20		319
Student 7	$\circ$	65	0	9	0	25	文	24	$\circ$	7	女	43	9		83		348
Student 8	$\circ$	23	女	67	0	27		0	$\circ$	9	$\circ$	11	10	$\circ$	52		325
Student 9	$\circ$	12	女	76	0	24		0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	8	11	$\circ$	42	C	319
Student 10	$\circ$	65	$\circ$	23	女	67		0	$\circ$	11	$\circ$	7	12	$\circ$	37		347
Student 11	$\circ$	34	女	52	0	9		2	$\circ$	12	$\circ$	6	23	$\circ$	21	C	318
Student 12	$\circ$	6	女	76	文	64		7	女	25	$\circ$	0	12		0		337
Student 13		57		34	文	67		4	$\circ$	1	$\circ$	8	15		35		344
Student 14	$\circ$	46	女	55	$\circ$	44		4	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	5	16		24		332
Student 15	$\circ$	34	×	72	$\circ$	22		6	$\circ$	9		23	17	$\circ$	58		332
Student 16	$\circ$	23	女	87	$\circ$	45		11	$\circ$	8	索	56	18		76	À	355
Student 17	$\circ$	56	0	3	女	76	文	34	0	5	女	54	19		74	Ż	373
Student 18	$\circ$	36	$\circ$	23	女	64		15	$\circ$	13	女	44	20		69	Ż	359
Student 19		46	0	6	京	67	*	16	$\circ$	16		12	21	0	36	C	348
Student 20		46	*	75	女	76	*	17	女	32	女	43	22		66	×	373
Student 21		56	女	62	女	65	女	26	女	35		34	23	0	60	ø	367


















#### Step <u>I: Problem Definition (What is the problem?)</u>

Based on screening data, is our core program sufficient for most students at our grade level (80% or more above grade level expectations/benchmark goals)?

• Review and analyze current screening data. Record percentages below:

	Current Screening
% At or Above Expectation/Benchmark	15/21 = 71%
% Below Expectation/Benchmarks	1/21 = 5%
% Well Below Expectation/ Benchmark	5/21 = 24%

Problem Statement: \_\_\_\_\_\_



What red flags indicate that a problem exists?

 29% of the students in this grade do not meet the minimum level of the established benchmark.












#### Analyzing Universal Screening Data - COMPOSITE DATA











#### Problem Statement

# Defined as the difference between what is expected and what is actually happening

While 71% of children are performing at or above expectations, there remains a significant gap for the remaining 29% of children who are below or well below expectations. The expectation is that ALL children have the opportunity to meet or exceed developmental benchmarks, yet the current outcomes show a disparity in achievement.

Specifically, 5% of children fall slightly below expectations, and 24% are significantly below, indicating the need for targeted interventions to address this *inequity* and ensure ALL children receive the support required to thrive.











#### Stephanie Stollar: How to Use Assessment Data in MTSS










about it!



TALK








# Problem Solving: System

#### Step 2: Problem Analysis (Why is it happening?)

a) Determine the common priority skill: Use data to prioritize which foundational reading skill is currently the most important common instructional need for most students (circle one):

Skill	Phono Aware	logical eness	Pho	onics — N	NWF	ORF	ORF	Reading Comprehension
Measure	LNF	PSF	CLS	WRC	WRF	Words Read Correct	Accuracy	Maze
% Below Benchmark								

We want to ask some questions about system factors



What red flags indicate that a problem exists?

 % of the students in this grade do not meet the minimum level of the established benchmark.












### Analyzing Universal Screening Data - Individual Measures

Mock Grade 1 Class-BOY



Mock Grade 1 Class- BUY															
Student		NF		SF	_ NWF-		NWF-		VA.	WRF		ORF Words	ORF	0	RF Accuracy
		VI m	LIS	ЭГ		CLS	1	VRC	VA	Inte		Correct	Errors		%
Student 1	0	10	0	20		32	×	31	0	3	0	23	3	0	88
Student 2	0	1	0	21	0	12	0	2	0	1	0	12	4	0	75
Student 3		69	0	22	0	43	$\odot$	3	0	2	*	40	5	0	89
Student 4		1	$^{\circ}$	23	0	23	$\odot$	1	0	3	0	1	6	0	14
Student 5		4		45	*	67	女	20	0	4	*	45	7	0	87
Student 6		6	×	76	0	22	*	27	0	6	0	2	8	0	20
Student 7		65	$^{\circ}$	9	$\circ$	25	*	24	0	7	*	43	9	0	83
Student 8	0	23	×	67	$\circ$	27	$\circ$	0	0	9		11	10	0	52
Student 9		12	×	76	0	24	$\circ$	0		0	0	8	11	0	42
Student 10		65	$\circ$	23	家	67	$\circ$	0		11		7	12	0	37
Student 11		34	×	52	0	9	$\circ$	2	0	12	0	6	23	0	21
Student 12		6	×	76	女	64	$\circ$	7	女	25	0	0	12	0	0
Student 13	0	57	0	34	女	67	$\circ$	4	0	1	$\circ$	8	15	0	35
Student 14	0	46	×	55		44	$\circ$	4	0	0	$\circ$	5	16	$\circ$	24
Student 15		34	×	72	0	22	$\circ$	6	0	9	$\circ$	23	17	$\circ$	58
Student 16		23	×	87	0	45	$\circ$	11	0	8	×	56	18		76
Student 17	0	56	0	3	女	76	文	34	0	5	女	54	19	$\circ$	74
Student 18		36	0	23	女	64	$\circ$	15		13	女	44	20	$\circ$	69
Student 19		46	0	6	×	67	×	16		16		12	21	$\circ$	36
Student 20		46	×	75	×	76	×	17	女	32	×	43	22	0	66
Student 21		56	文	62	×	65	文	26	女	35	0	34	23	0	60
												•			











# Problem Solving: System

#### Step 2: Problem Analysis (Why is it happening?)

a) Determine the common priority skill: Use data to prioritize which foundational reading skill is currently the most important common instructional need for most students (circle one):

Skill	Phono Aware		Pho	nics — N	IWF	ORF	ORF	Reading Comprehension
Measure	LNF	PSF	CLS	CLS WRC		Words Read Correct	Accuracy	Maze
% Below Benchmark	57%	42%	38%	42%	72%	38%	38%	
	12  21	9  21	8  21	9  21	15  21	8  21	8  21	

\* Use our Road to Skilled Reading or Making Sense of Screening to determine our instructional area.



What red flags indicate that a problem exists?

 72% of the students in this grade do not meet the minimum level of the established benchmark for Word Reading Fluency in our Nonsense Words. (Phonics) — BUT also 57% do not know their Letter Names (Phonological Awareness).













#### Road to Skilled Reading















### Today's FOCUS - Tier | Instruction







Core instruction provided to all students, including students with or at risk for disabilities, that includes whole group instruction, differentiated small group instruction, and independent practice.











#### Questions a Teacher Needs to ask Next ...

- Do all students participate in core instruction?
- Does core instruction include explicit teaching of Phonemic Awareness and Phonics?
- Do you feel confident in teaching Phonemic awareness and Phonics?
- Have you engaged in professional learning on Phonemic Awareness and Phonics?














"...the use of unrelated activities without a connection to goals for learning is no longer an acceptable method of intervention for struggling readers." (p. 4)

We want to be intentional and strategic with every choice we make regarding activities and materials.











# Problem Solving: System


#### Step 3: Plan Development & Implementation (What is the plan?)

#### Instruction:

What instructional factors may be contributing to the problem?

#### Resources/Programs:

What factors may be contributing to the problem?



What red flags indicate that a problem exists?

89% of the students in this grade do not meet the minimum level of the established benchmark for Correct Letter Sounds (Phonemic Awareness and Phonics)

#### **Environment:**

What environmental factors may be contributing to the problem?

#### Learner:

What learner factors may be contributing to the problem?




@POPEYBC





#### TICKET out - Self Reflection on Tier One Instruction











#### Books

Next Steps in Literacy Instruction: Smart & Glaser, 2023

Breakthrough: When Student Learning Matters Most, 2016

#### Video Links

Defining Guide Video Series: Dr. Stephanie Stollar

Dibels Grade 1 Example

How to Use Assessment Data in MTSS (The Measured Mom: Triple R Podcast)

#### Online Resources

Dibels 8 University of Oregon

Introduction to MTSS - ONLit, 2024

Stephanie Stollar Consulting LLC- 2021

IDA's Structured Literacy Wheel - 2024


Logic of English, 2025











Thank you for your dedication and passion!

Your hard work inspires and makes a lasting impact!



Calico Clark calico@popey.ca



Marianne Vande Pol marianne@popey.ca







